

any private citizen of the United States receiving such a welcome as that now being given to Henry A. Wallace by the people of Britain."

Mr. Browne, London correspondent for the Times, goes on to say—and this is of utmost significance!—that the British people "have given a warm and enthusiastic welcome not only to the former American Vice-President as a man but also to his idea."

This idea has been revolving about several elements:

1. That an understanding of and with the Soviet Union is less apt to lead to atomic war than Truman's "tough line."
2. That in a choice between the Truman Doctrine and the United Nations, he unhesitatingly supports the latter.
3. That peoples cannot be bought, but that they can and must be fed.
4. That the Truman Doctrine is a smokescreen for a vast network of U. S. economic imperialism throughout the world.

Why, then, this warm reception in Britain for a man so thoroughly condemned by people "in high places" in his own country? Two answers. Our President, our Congress, and our press and radio fear his ideas; the British and the Europeans, on the other hand, welcome them as the more desirable, more feasible road to peace.

Moreover, American public opinion is in the grip of an anti-Soviet hysteria. This is not at all the case in Great Britain. In fact, a careful reader might very easily have been shocked by a certain insignificant-looking news item in the March 27th issue of the New York Times. It disclosed that the various units of the Labor Party Conference in Britain had submitted 21 resolutions for the annual Labor Party Conference in May. Of these, says the report, "eight urge closer affiliation with the Soviet Union; none advocate drawing away from it."

Typical of such resolutions, the Times continues, is the following: "That subservience to capitalist America will inevitably draw us into an anticipated coming slump and that the only way to avoid this situation is to cooperate with the planned economy countries such as the Soviet Union, Yugoslavia, Czechoslovakia, Poland, etc."

Thus, the proposal of a man admonished at home and welcomed abroad becomes more easily understood.

In the meantime, this strange and dynamic-laden American foreign policy has its repercussions at home, as well. Civil and economic liberties are being placed in increasing jeopardy. There are loyalty orders, restrictive labor legislation, proposals to outlaw political parties, formulas for the curtailment of free discussion. Different ideas, such as those of Mr. Wallace, suddenly become "dangerous."

All this in the name of unity. And yet, the nation is at peace. Is unity so dear or power so vital as to be purchased at such exorbitant prices? Will the American political "consumer" accept such a "high cost of living"?

Shakespeare said, "In time we HATE that which we often FEAR." Franklin Roosevelt said, "The only thing we have to fear is fear itself." Both men were right.

Make plans now to attend the gala Monogram Club Blue and White football game in Kenan Stadium next Saturday afternoon at 2:30 o'clock.

any private citizen of the United States receiving such a welcome as that now being given to Henry A. Wallace by the people of Britain."

Mr. Browne, London correspondent for the Times, goes on to say—and this is of utmost significance!—that the British people "have given a warm and enthusiastic welcome not only to the former American Vice-President as a man but also to his idea."

This idea has been revolving about several elements:

1. That an understanding of and with the Soviet Union is less apt to lead to atomic war than Truman's "tough line."
2. That in a choice between the Truman Doctrine and the United Nations, he unhesitatingly supports the latter.
3. That peoples cannot be bought, but that they can and must be fed.
4. That the Truman Doctrine is a smokescreen for a vast network of U. S. economic imperialism throughout the world.

Why, then, this warm reception in Britain for a man so thoroughly condemned by people "in high places" in his own country? Two answers. Our President, our Congress, and our press and radio fear his ideas; the British and the Europeans, on the other hand, welcome them as the more desirable, more feasible road to peace.

Moreover, American public opinion is in the grip of an anti-Soviet hysteria. This is not at all the case in Great Britain. In fact, a careful reader might very easily have been shocked by a certain insignificant-looking news item in the March 27th issue of the New York Times. It disclosed that the various units of the Labor Party Conference in Britain had submitted 21 resolutions for the annual Labor Party Conference in May. Of these, says the report, "eight urge closer affiliation with the Soviet Union; none advocate drawing away from it."

Typical of such resolutions, the Times continues, is the following: "That subservience to capitalist America will inevitably draw us into an anticipated coming slump and that the only way to avoid this situation is to cooperate with the planned economy countries such as the Soviet Union, Yugoslavia, Czechoslovakia, Poland, etc."

Thus, the proposal of a man admonished at home and welcomed abroad becomes more easily understood.

In the meantime, this strange and dynamic-laden American foreign policy has its repercussions at home, as well. Civil and economic liberties are being placed in increasing jeopardy. There are loyalty orders, restrictive labor legislation, proposals to outlaw political parties, formulas for the curtailment of free discussion. Different ideas, such as those of Mr. Wallace, suddenly become "dangerous."

All this in the name of unity. And yet, the nation is at peace. Is unity so dear or power so vital as to be purchased at such exorbitant prices? Will the American political "consumer" accept such a "high cost of living"?

Shakespeare said, "In time we HATE that which we often FEAR." Franklin Roosevelt said, "The only thing we have to fear is fear itself." Both men were right.

Make plans now to attend the gala Monogram Club Blue and White football game in Kenan Stadium next Saturday afternoon at 2:30 o'clock.

New textbooks teach Soviet students how Russian army won war with little aid from Allies. (News item.)

Letters To The Editor

For the Dean

Sirs:

I should like to use this means to call public attention to certain commendable actions on the part of Dean of General College. Although this department must certainly be harried by overwhelming amounts of work under difficult conditions, still they have taken the time to sit down and write individual letters of commendation to students doing exemplary work in their studies; and not only have they congratulated the students, but have actually written similar letters to the various families concerned. Perhaps it seems but a small thing, but to me it is a great satisfaction to find such instances of individualized attention among 7,000 students.

A student who is doing his best may not ask nor expect such commendations, but that only makes it all the more gratifying to know that effort and high standards are appreciated and encouraged INDIVIDUALLY by university officials. To me it is a sign that war-time conditions are giving way to the better conditions of peace. This is a major step in that direction.

Sincerely,
Frank J. Matthews

Two Resolutions

To The Editor:

After the facts on violence had been presented and discussed at the Thursday meeting in Memorial Hall, the chairman asked that those interested in taking action on the facts remain, and declared the meeting adjourned. About a half or a third of the audience remained, and the chairman asked for proposals or resolutions. Mr. Lanier said, in a highly emotional speech, that he suspected a trick by which a substantial part of the audience had been eliminated so that a minority clique could force through resolutions and misrepresent the student body.

Later discussion revealed that he had not heard the chairman announce the plan to discuss action, but Mr. Lanier made no apology or retraction. Many people felt that after such an attack on the integrity of the chair and much of the remaining audience, any action taken by the meeting would be "smeared" in advance. Finally the meeting adjourned without resolutions even being heard.

We of course do not know all of the resolutions which might have been presented, but for the information of the public, we wish to state that we were going to present these two:

- (1) "That this meeting ask the Chapel Hill Board of Aldermen to investigate the adequacy of the Chapel Hill police force to protect students and citizens from violence."
- (2) "That this meeting ask the Mayor and the Board of Aldermen of Chapel Hill to request the aid of the State Bureau of Investigation in apprehending and prosecuting those persons responsible for the recent assaults on, and threats to, students and citizens."

Reverend Jones was told by the police that they could not assure his

family protection and that it would be wise for him to take his family out of town temporarily. Two students were advised by the police to move from their house. The Chapel Hill police force consists of seven men, who cannot all be on duty at the same time. A police force should be an insurance—a safeguard. We do not anticipate any more violence, arising from racial trouble or any other kind of trouble, but a police force must still be large enough and efficient enough to handle any possible disturbance.

JOE MILLS
KENNETH MACROBIE
MARTIN P. KNOWLTON
J. BEN ROUZIE

Regrettable

To the Editor:

It is regrettable on the part of many who attended the mass meeting in Memorial hall Thursday afternoon that one of the speakers was not treated with respect while he addressed the assembly. No matter whether we agree with a speaker or not, we should be fair and courteous to him while he has the floor.

Personally, I do not share the views of Mr. Phipps; however, I do NOT think the action of one or some students present, reflect any credit on this student body. Nor does it help any particular group and the things they stand for.

The Dean of Men, Mr. Weaver, set an example for all of us as he spoke calmly and effectively for the protection of student's rights. Many, I am sure left the hall with a deep feeling of respect for Mr. Weaver and proud of him for the statement he made.

Blount Stewart.

• Dial F-371 for newspaper service.

terest, was between mathematics, mathematical science, and literature. Literature requires more intelligence, more perception; to understand well, and hence gives richer returns than the other two. This is so, personally and vocationally, because I plan to write and teach.—Paul Ramsay, Chattanooga, Tenn.

Commerce—I expect to go into the hotel business with my father. If I don't do that I shall certainly enter business for myself or work for someone else. Commerce is the best preparation I can make for the future.—Simon Jacobson, Spofford, N. H.

Zoology—I chose this because I am interested in it in the first place. Secondly, I hope to enter the medical field of study. It is only natural to make this choice.—Lois Harris, Valdesse.

Next Question

How do you feel about the proposed Constitutional amendment to limit the Presidential tenure of office to two terms?

Smith Gives Warning To Local Politicoes

With the prospect of seveal all-out political campaigns looming in the imminent future, Manager of Dormitories Bill Smith took occasion yesterday to reissue his warning that political propoganda and all other types of posters, notices, etc., must not be placed on dormitory walls.

Smith said that political campaigners should restrict their literature to dormitory bulletin boards and other authorized places. Such notices may be tacked to woodwork if small thumb tacks are used but under no conditions should they be placed on the walls.

It Happens Here...

- Monday
- 3:00—Tennis, Carolina vs. Wake Forest
 - 6:15—Baptist Student Union supper
 - 8:00—S and F present "What a World," Memorial hall.
 - 9:00—Students for Democratic Action, Horace Williams lounge
 - 8:00—CPU, Open House, President Graham.

Crossword Puzzle

ANSWER TO PREVIOUS PUZZLE

ART	CORE	TADS
VEST	LANON	IDOL
IMPACT	OTIC	LA
RIND	OMEN	POT
AND	EVER	WARE
SE	FAVER	CAN
EDITOR	LONGED	
BOW	PANT	LE
OVEN	AIDE	PEN
VEX	ONLY	PACT
AN	ARGO	PARTI
TAIL	RESAUMERIN	
ELSE	REST	SAG.

ALPHABET

- 1—It's used in making beer
- 2—Stupid person
- 3—Marlin
- 4—Army from wind
- 5—His wife turned to salt
- 6—Warm fabric
- 7—Pur
- 8—Non-professionals
- 9—Period of time
- 10—Cable unit
- 11—Female ruff
- 12—Not on time
- 13—Kind of dance
- 14—They pull boat
- 15—Eye-shaped figure
- 16—Cutting tool
- 17—Crow did
- 18—Animal's home
- 19—Looking closely
- 20—Capital of Switzerland
- 21—Old weight for wool
- 22—Throw
- 23—Garment worn by Arabs
- 24—Striped cat
- 25—Little devil
- 26—Made comparisons with
- 27—Mark of a wound
- 28—Trap
- 29—A number
- 30—Mixture
- 31—Transmitted
- 32—Boy's nickname
- 33—Join firmly

DOWN

- 1—Female horse
- 2—Winked
- 3—Make lawful
- 4—Golf gadget
- 5—Having a wing
- 6—A few
- 7—Surprise
- 8—Feminine sheep
- 9—Felture
- 10—Blood
- 11—Other
- 12—Small duck
- 13—Stretis (abbr.)
- 14—On one's loon
- 15—Strops
- 16—Weaken
- 17—Late humorist
- 18—Bird's origin
- 19—Small bit
- 20—Error
- 21—Shoeder than
- 22—Device for holding legs
- 23—Jut
- 24—Long piece of
- 25—Yielded
- 26—Ply in a part
- 27—Small of side
- 28—Small bit
- 29—Late word of
- 30—Brought forward
- 31—Bury before service
- 32—Pavise
- 33—Female pig

his ankle

Chunk

Jack Mil

winning

usual de

finished

the high

The co

One-m

(C), Chu

440-ya

(N), Ne

100-ya

(N), An

220-ya

bald (N)

880-ya

(N), Bu

120-ya

(C), Hog

High

(C), Sim

1/2 in.

Two